

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/764,190	01/23/2004	Peter Fyler Lynch	9495	8071
	7590 04/03/200 R & GAMBLE COMP	EXAMINER		
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DIVISION WINTON HILL BUSINESS CENTER - BOX 161 6110 CENTER HILL AVENUE CINCINNATI, OH 45224			QUINN, COLLEEN M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3634	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		04/03/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/764,190	LYNCH, PETER FYLER	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
•	•	Colleen M. Quinn	3634	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address	
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Designs of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tire I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
′=	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 J This action is FINAL . 2b) This since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under	s action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro		
Dispositi	on of Claims	•	•	
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1.4-13.17 and 18 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1.4-13.17 and 18 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consideration.		
Applicati	on Papers			
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination.	cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ction is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary		
3) 🔲 Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:		

Application/Control Number: 10/764,190

Art Unit: 3634

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1, 4-13, 17 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claim 1, lines 14-16, it is unclear how the top support member has a portion that extends over substantially the entire length of itself. The language used to describe the non-linear portion of the top support member is awkward and does not clearly describe the invention. The applicant may consider describing the top support member to have a --non-linear portion for substantially *the* entire length of the top support member-- rather than the awkward language currently used to describe the non-linear portion.

Regarding claim 1, line 17, there is no antecedent basis for "said top member". It appears the applicant intends to recite --said top *support* member-- given that in the previous lines of claim 1, and the dependent claims refer to "said top support member".

Art Unit: 3634

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ingelson (US 2,747,959). Ingelson discloses a shelf display apparatus for storing packages on a shelf (column1, lines 15-19) comprising first and second vertical support members (unnumbered vertical ends of 2), each having a lower end (3,4) connectable to a shelf (C) in a first plane (figure 1), a top support member (unnumbered top edge of 2) rigidly connected directly between the two vertical support members, and in a plane parallel to the first plane (figures 1, 3 & 4), wherein the top support member has a continuous non-linear curved portion (figure 4) extending substantially the entire length of the top support member, and there is a substantially solid wall (2) interdisposed between the two vertical support members.

Application/Control Number: 10/764,190

Art Unit: 3634

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 5-13, 17 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ingelson as applied to claims 1 and 4 above, and further in view of Heroy (US 3,669,278). Ingelson fails to disclose fastening mechanisms comprising ushaped brackets insertable into a portion of a shelf.

Heroy teaches a shelf display apparatus comprising first and second vertical support members (vertical end members of 12 and 13), each support member having a lower end (15) to which fastening mechanisms (16) are attached, wherein the fastening mechanisms include a bottom plate (20) connectable to a shelf (10), the fastening mechanisms including u-shaped brackets (24) insertable to the shelf (figure 3), engaging members (26) insertable through receiving areas (11) proving a secure connection (column 1, lines 55-64) to a shelf configured to provide a display for product information (column 1, lines 5-6 and 53-55).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, to provide the shelf display apparatus of Ingelson with the fastening mechanisms taught by Heroy, in order to provide a more secure connection between the apparatus and the shelf.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 4-13, 17 and 18 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Morrisson (US 6,389,991), Niece (US 4,023,682) and Pfeifer (US 4,426,009) all teach key features of the applicant claimed invention, namely, shelf display apparatuses that include features such as u-shaped brackets and non-linear supporting walls intended for the display of non-linear objects.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Colleen M. Quinn whose telephone number is (571)272-6289. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30AM-5:00PM Monday - Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter Cuomo can be reached on (571)272-6856. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Application/Control Number: 10/764,190

Art Unit: 3634

Page 6

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

CMQ 3/31/07 Peter M. Cuomo
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 3600